388. The Dissociation Constants of Organic Acids. Part XIII. The Primary and Secondary Constants of Some Cyclic 1: 1-Dicarboxylic Acids.

By WILLIAM L. GERMAN, GEORGE H. JEFFERY, and ARTHUR I. VOGEL.

THE present series of investigations was started by one of us early in 1926 with the objects, *inter alia*, (i) of determining the distances between the carboxyl groups of dibasic acids from measurements of the primary and secondary dissociation constants by application of Bjerrum's expression (\overline{Z} . physikal. Chem., 1923, 106, 219), and thus of extending Spiers and Thorpe's work on the glutaric acids (J., 1925, 127, 538), and (ii) of providing accurate values of the "true" or thermodynamic dissociation constants. It was realised that potentiometric methods alone were suitable for the determination of both dissociation constants, but these were not employed at the time owing to lack of reproducibility in the results and to the different values obtained by calculating according to Auerbach and Smolczyk (Z.physikal. Chem., 1924, 110, 83) or to Britton (J., 1925, 127, 1896). No trustworthy conductivity data were then available for comparative purposes, and most of the previous papers of this series are concerned with filling this gap. The first values of the thermodynamic primary dissociation constants of normal dibasic acids are given in Part XI (this vol., p. 21), and these differ in some instances, e.g., for malonic and succinic acid, considerably from the potentiometric determinations of Britton (loc. cit.), Gane and Ingold (J., 1928, 1598; 1931, 2156), and Ashton and Partington (Trans. Faraday Soc., 1934, 30, 598), although agreement with our own measurements with the hydrogen and the quinhydrone electrode is within 2-3%. This subject will be discussed elsewhere.

New conductivity data are now recorded for *cyclo*-propane-, -butane-, -pentane-, and -hexane-1 : 1-dicarboxylic acids and their sodium salts, the latter corrected for hydrolysis and for the carbonic acid present in the water used; these replace the approximate figures given in Part II (J., 1929, 1487), which were vitiated by arithmetical errors (see this vol.,

p. 30). The true primary dissociation constants have been calculated in every case, although the value for the relatively strong *cyclo*propane acid, which was calculated on assumptions valid only for weak acids, must be regarded as approximate (compare iodic acid; Davies, "The Conductivity of Solutions," 1933, p. 107).

Determinations have also been made of the primary and the secondary dissociation constants by potentiometric titration with the quinhydrone electrode. The thermo-dynamic dissociation constants of these acids have been calculated for the first time (contrast the empirical method of Gane and Ingold, J., 1931, 2154), and the values for the primary constants agree within 1-2% with those deduced by conductivity, except that constant values for K_1 could not be obtained for the *cyclo*propane acid.

The distances between the two carboxyl groups, r, have been calculated by Bjerrum's expression, $P_2 - P_1 - \log 4 = 3 \cdot 1 \times 10^{-8}/r$, where $P_2 = -\log K_2$ and $P_1 = -\log K_1$, and also by Gane and Ingold's method, which takes into account the change in properties of the solvent (see J., 1931, 2153, 2160, 2180). The results for r (in Å.), as well as the values of the true dissociation constants (potentiometric), are given in the following table. The value for K_1 (therm.) for cyclopropane-1: 1-dicarboxylic acid is that determined by conductivity.

1: 1-Dicarboxylic acid.	K_1 (therm.) $\times 10^4$.	K_2 (therm.) $\times 10^6$.	r (B.).	r (G. and I.).
(I) cycloPropane	150.0	0.0371	0.62	2.65
(II) cycloButane	7.47	1.32	1.44	3.50
(III) cycloPentane		0.83	1.38	3.42
(IV) cycloHexane	3.54	0.78	1.21	3.54

Points of interest are : (i) the small value of r for the acid (I); (ii) the different figures given by the two methods of calculation; (iii) the value for the *cyclopentane* acid is not greater than that for the corresponding *cyclohexane* acid, as would be expected on calculation of the extracyclic angles based upon the planar nature of both rings (compare Becker and Thorpe, J., 1920, **117**, 1579), and this may be taken as evidence for the now accepted multiplanar character of the *cyclohexane* ring.

These results will be fully discussed when the experiments on the corresponding substituted glutaric acids have been completed.

EXPERIMENTAL.

Preparation of Materials.—Acids. The details have already been described (Vogel, J., 1934, 337; compare J., 1929, 1488). All operations were carried out in Pyrex vessels, and all solvents for recrystallisation were of AnalaR purity. The acids were all dried over calcium chloride in a vacuum desiccator for several days before use and had the following m. p.'s: (I) 136—137°, (II) 157°, (IV) 178°.

Sodium salts. These were prepared by adding the calculated quantity of sodium hydroxide solution, prepared from the AnalaR solid and standardised against AnalaR potassium hydrogen phthalate, to known weights of the pure acids; the solutions were evaporated to dryness on the water-bath, and the solids recrystallised from dilute ethyl alcohol and dried at 130°. Their purity was confirmed by analysis [Found, for sodium salts of (I), (II), (III), and (IV) respectively: Na, 26·48, 24·43, 22·74, 21·26. Calc.: 26·45, 24·46, 22·76, 21·30%].

General Technique and Apparatus for Conductivity Measurements.—This was identical with that described in Part XI (loc. cit.). The same Hartley cells were employed, and their constants were found to be unchanged. Kohlrausch cells were used for the higher concentrations of acids (III) and (IV) owing to their smaller solubility, and are indicated by the letters following the conductivity figures. The symbols in the following tables have the same significance as before.

For the sodium salts, the application of a "normal" solvent correction yielded the following results for the preliminary calculation of the mobilities required for the application of the combined solvent and hydrolysis correction (Part XI, *loc. cit.*).

Na salt of (I):
$$\mu_0^n = \mu_c + 790 \cdot 4C^{0.654} = 211 \cdot 9$$
; $l_{0_{X''}} = 56 \cdot 2$
,, (II): $\mu_0^n = \mu_c + 708 \cdot 8C^{0.668} = 200 \cdot 8$; $l_{0_{X''}} = 50 \cdot 6$
,, (III): $\mu_0^n = \mu_c + 794 \cdot 5C^{0.682} = 199 \cdot 0$; $l_{0_{X''}} = 49 \cdot 7$
,, (IV): $\mu_0^n = \mu_c + 527 \cdot 1C^{0.578} = 197 \cdot 4$; $l_{0_{X''}} = 48 \cdot 9$

German, Jeffery, and Vogel:

The mobilities of the acid ions, $l_{0HX'}$, were computed from the relation, $l_{0_{HX'}} = 0.53 l_{0_{X''}}$ (Part XI, *loc. cit.*), and were respectively 30.2, 27.0, 26.3, and 25.9. The approximate secondary dissociation constants, determined from the potentiometric titration curve, employed in the computations were : acid (I) 5.0×10^{-8} , (II) 1.5×10^{-6} , (III) 1.0×10^{-6} . The approximate for the potentiation curve is a corrected result for the acid uncertainty of the section t^{2} are in Table I.

The corrected results for the sodium salts at 25° are in Table I.

TABLE I.

Sodium cyclopropane-1: 1-dicarboxylate (M = 174.03). $\mu_0^n = \mu_c + 385.6C^{0.463}$; $\mu_0^n = 219.12$; $l_{0X''} = 59.8$; $l_{0HX'} = 31.9$.

		• • •		· · · · ·	• •HA		
$C imes 10^4$.	μ , obs.	μ , corr.	μ_0^n .	$C~ imes~10^4$.	μ , obs.	μ , corr.	μ_0^{n} .
			Run 1. Cell V	$\kappa = 0.768.$			
1.295	209.75	$213 \cdot 23$		37.92	191.01	190.29	219.47
6.646	$205 \cdot 47$	206.21	219.24	58.60	185.74	185.30	(221.00)
11.46	$202 \cdot 23$	202.31	219.08	73.19	182.77	182.39	· /
19.52	197.84	197.39	218.85	95.97	179.05	178.64	
			Run 2. Cell S	$\delta. \kappa = 0.774.$			
3.991	207.58	208.85		47.98	188.17	187.65	(220.71)
9.310	$203 \cdot 85$	$203 \cdot 86$	$218 \cdot 87$	62.41	184.77	$184 \cdot 43$	` ′
16.02	$199 \cdot 82$	199.46	219.04	77.31	181.91	181.62	
28.24	$194 \cdot 46$	$193 \cdot 82$	219.25	92.01	179.51	179.19	

Sodium cyclobutane-1 : 1-dicarboxylate (M = 188.05).

 $\mu_0{}^n = \mu_c + 338.4C^{0.478}$; $\mu_0{}^n = 206.87$; $l_{0X^{**}} = 53.6$; $l_{0HX'} = 28.4$.

			Run 1. Cell S.	$\kappa = 0.672.$			
1.001	199.61	206.42		39.99	182.99	$183 \cdot 11$	$207 \cdot 24$
4.998	196.59	198.14	207.10	58.77	179.37	179.43	(208.42)
10.07	193.33	194.10	206.60	$73 \cdot 31$	177.32	177.36	· /
18.99	189.41	189.62	206.55	93.98	175.13	175.16	
			Run 2. Cell V.	$\kappa = 0.678.$			
2.992	197.54	200.65		34.99	184.17	$184 \cdot 29$	206.95
8.611	194.16	195.39	207.00	52.61	180.33	180.39	(207.93)
15.26	191.01	191.44	206.69	$72 \cdot 18$	177.55	177.59	· /
26.08	186.92	187.06	206.76	89.31	175.53	175.56	

Sodium cyclopentane-1 : 1-dicarboxylate (M = 202.06).

 $\mu_0{}^n = \mu_{\rm c} + 345.9 C^{0.488}; \ \mu_0{}^n = 204.27; \ l_{0_{\rm X''}} = 52.4; \ l_{0_{\rm HX'}} = 27.7.$

			Run 1. Cell V.	$\kappa = 0.582.$			
1.612	196.98	200.72		40.06	$181 \cdot 11$	181.10	204.52
4.506	194.62	196.02	204.01	58.75	177.55	177.53	(205.77)
10.04	191.51	$192 \cdot 12$	204.01	71.82	175.46	175.42	` ´
$22 \cdot 41$	186.32	186.62	204.26	98.12	172.22	172.16	
			Run 2. Cell S.	$\kappa = 0.575.$			
3.611	195.58	197.08	<u> </u>	44.99	180.18	180.12	(204.98)
8.607	192.63	193.17	204.23	61.97	176.98	176.96	` '
15.62	189.17	189.48	204.58	76.49	174.88	$174 \cdot 84$	
26.68	$185 \cdot 13$	185.34	204.51	91.50	173.01	172.95	

Sodium cyclohexane-1 : 1-dicarboxylate (M = 216.08).

$$\mu_0^n = \mu_c + 349.5C^{0.492}; \ \mu_0^n = 199.91; \ l_{0X''} = 50.2; \ l_{0HX'} = 26.6.$$

			Run 1. Cell V .	$\kappa = 0.582.$			
0.604	194.89	$204 \cdot 80$		37.46	178.00	177.99	200.35
5.251	190.38	191.21	199.71	62.38	173.82	$173 \cdot 80$	(202.55)
9.502	$187 \cdot 81$	188.34	199.74	79.75	171.67	171.63	· /
24.99	181.43	181.72	200.07	97.42	169.84	169.77	
			Run 2. Cell S.	$\kappa = 0.567.$			
3.612	192.03	192.95		39.84	177.56	177.55	200.58
8.499	188.68	189.25	200.03	57.26	174.49	174.47	(202.03)
15.51	185.01	$185 \cdot 16$	199.66	73.11	172.53	$172 \cdot 49$	· /
27.12	180.79	181.08	200.18	87.68	170.81	170.74	

The values at round concentrations, obtained with a flexible spline, are given in Table II for convenience of reference and comparison with other published data.

TABLE II.

Molecular Conductivities of Sodium Salts of 1:1-Dicarboxylic Acids at 25°.

$C \times 10^4$.	<i>cyclo-</i> Propane.	<i>cyclo-</i> Butane.	<i>cyclo-</i> Pentane.	<i>cyclo-</i> Hexane.	$C \times 10^4$.	<i>cyclo-</i> Propane.	<i>cyclo-</i> Butane.	<i>cyclo-</i> Pentane.	<i>cyclo-</i> Hexane.
5.0	207.66	198.25	195.83	191.62	60.0	184.93	$179 \cdot 20$	177.26	174.15
$\frac{10.0}{20.0}$	$203 \cdot 38 \\ 197 \cdot 74$	$194.40 \\ 189.22$	$192.25 \\ 187.63$	$188.03 \\ 183.19$	$70.0 \\ 80.0$	$182 \cdot 92 \\181 \cdot 10$	177.78 176.55	175·75 174·40	$172.80 \\ 171.62$
30.0	192.98	185.74	184.17	179.84	90.0	179.49	$175 \cdot 47$	173.18	170.62
$\begin{array}{c} 40 \cdot 0 \\ 50 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$189.68 \\ 187.07$	$183.05 \\ 180.90$	181·28 179·07	177·52 175·66	100.0	178.07	174.52	172.08	169.78

The True Primary Dissociation Constants.—These were calculated exactly as described in Part XI (loc. cit., p. 26); three approximations were, however, necessary for the calculation of the ionic concentration c''' owing to the strength of the acids (see J., 1932, 2837; this vol., p. 26). The data employed for the sodium hydrogen salts were :

Acid.	Propane.	Butane.	Pentane.	Hexane.
Λ_0	81·7 78·43	$78.2 \\ 77.63$	77·5 77·47	$76.4 \\ 77.22$

The values of K_1 (therm.) were not calculated for some of the results in dilute solution, for experience showed that they are of little value in its final evaluation (see this vol., p. 26).

The collected results are in Table III; the symbols have their customary significance.

TABLE III.

Primary Dissociation Constants at 25°.

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm cyclo} Propane-1: 1\ -dicarboxylic\ Acid\ (M\ =\ 130\ -05\ ;\ \Lambda_{0}\ =\ 379\ -9). \\ {\rm Run\ 1.\ Cell\ R.\ \kappa\ =\ 0\ -684. \\ \hline 0\ -925\ 367\ +2\ 0\ -26\ 378\ +94\ 0\ -8969\ (0\ -28) \\ 5\ -189\ 359\ -01\ 0\ -84\ 378\ +19\ 4\ +9258\ (0\ -88) \\ 8\ -338\ 352\ -74\ 1\ -100\ 377\ -70\ 7\ -7774\ (1\ -103) \\ 1\ -719\ 340\ -06\ 1\ -31\ 376\ +07\ 15\ -5440\ (1\ -36) \\ 27\ -78\ 324\ +95\ 1\ +11\ 374\ +59\ 24\ +0987\ (1\ +11) \\ 38\ -04\ 313\ +23\ 1\ +47\ 373\ +33\ 31\ +0077\ 1\ +48\ 51\ +85\ 300\ +52\ 1\ +52\ 372\ +00\ 41\ +76\ 91\ +48\ 51\ +85\ 300\ +52\ 1\ +58\ 370\ +06\ 60\ +35\ 85\ +1\ +11\ 377\ +86\ 66\ -716\ (0\ +77\ +148\ 576\ +1\ +8\ +76\ +1\ +8\ +76\ +1\ +8\ +76\ +1\ +8\ +76\ +1\ +8\ +76\ +1\ +11\ 377\ +1\ +11\ 377\ +1\ +11\ +11\ +11\ +11\ +11\ +11\ +1$	$C \times 10^4$.	μ , obs.	K_1 (class.) $\times 10^2$.			K_1 (therm.) $ imes$ 10 ² .
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		cyclo <i>Propar</i>			05; $\Lambda_0 = 379$	9).
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Run 1. Cell	$R. \kappa = 0.684.$		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	76.41	280.02			60.5358	1.20
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				~		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	96.29	267.07	1.60	369.24	69.6462	
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm cyclo} Butane-1: 1\mbox{-} li Cell \ Q. \ \kappa=0.677. \\ & {\rm Run \ 1.} \ \ {\rm Cell \ Q.} \ \ \kappa=0.677. \\ \hline 1.399 \ \ 330.42 \ \ 8.828 \ \ 375.39 \ \ 1.2344 \ \ (8.881) \\ 6.412 \ \ 250.41 \ \ 8.479 \ \ 374.83 \ \ 4.2837 \ \ (8.215) \\ 15.14 \ \ 193.52 \ \ 8.236 \ \ 374.19 \ \ 7.8299 \ \ (7.859) \\ 25.99 \ \ 159.20 \ \ 8.058 \ \ 373.55 \ \ 11.0764 \ \ (7.614) \\ 39.10 \ \ 136.49 \ \ 8.047 \ \ 372.87 \ \ 14.3127 \ \ 7.572 \\ 48.76 \ \ 125.18 \ \ 8.080 \ \ 372.41 \ \ 16.3899 \ \ 7.553 \\ 63.12 \ \ 112.87 \ \ 8.108 \ \ 371.88 \ \ 19.1577 \ \ 7.551 \\ 87.06 \ \ 98.86 \ \ 8.145 \ \ 371.26 \ \ 23.1826 \ \ 7.557 \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$						Mean 1.5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathcal{C} imes10^4.$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		cycloButan	e-1 : 1-dicarboxylic A	cid ($M = 144.0$	6; $\Lambda_{ m 0}=376$ 4	4).
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Run 1. Cell	$Q. \kappa = 0.677.$		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.399	330.42	8.828	375.39	1.2344	(8.881)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6.412	250.41	8.479	374.83	4.2837	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.14	193.52	8.236	374'19	7.8299	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25.99	159.20		373.55	11.0764	(7.614)
	39.10	136.49	8.047	$372 \cdot 87$	14.3127	`7·572 [´]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				$372 \cdot 41$	16.3899	7.553
Run 2. Cell R. $\kappa = 0.701.$ 4.312 276.42 8.756 9.377 225.24 8.361 18.31 181.51 8.223 28.88 153.08 8.051 373.40 11.8397 (7.595) 47.07 126.93 8.076 372.49 16.0396 7.554	63.15	112.87	8.108	371.88	19.1577	7.541
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	87.06	98.86	8.142	371.26	$23 \cdot 1826$	7.537
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Run 2. Cell A	$R. \kappa = 0.701.$		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.312	276.42	8.756			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.377	$225 \cdot 24$	8.361			
47.07 126.93 8.076 372.49 16.0396 7.554	18.31	181.21	8.223			
47.07 126.93 8.076 372.49 16.0396 7.554	28.88	153.08		$373 \cdot 40$	11.8391	(7.595)
61.20 114.32 8.100 371.89 18.8709 7.549	47 .07	126.93	8.076	372.49	16.0396	
				371.89	$18 \cdot 8709$	7.549
81.07 101.74 8.117 371.37 22.2782 7.527	81.02	101.74			$22 \cdot 2782$	7.527
93·89 95·79 8·158 371·05 24·3133 7·523	93.89	95.79	8.128	371.05	24.3133	7.523

Mean 7.547

TABLE III (Contd.).

Primary Dissociation Constants at 25°.

	P1	imary Disso	ciation	Constants	at 25 .	
$C imes 10^4$.	μ , obs.	K_1 (class.) $ imes$				K_{1} (therm.) $ imes$ 104.
	cycloPentan				08; $\Lambda_{0}=375$.7).
		Run 1.	Cell R .	$\kappa = 0.712.$		
2.041	302.11	6.737				
5.644	$242 \cdot 46$	6.625				
9.769	201.21	5.978		<u> </u>		
18.22	165.04	6.271		$373 \cdot 45$	8.0520	5.969
28.90	139.42	6.321		$372 \cdot 90$	10.8538	5.976
45.57	116.06	6.373		$372 \cdot 21$	$14 \cdot 2093$	5.973
66·63 [99 .68	6.384		371.36	17.8855	5.947
89∙96V	87.89	6.422		370.85	$21 \cdot 2254$	5.950
		Run 2.	Cell Q.	$\kappa = 0.723.$		
3.631	270.31	6.701			—	
7.992	220.16	6.629			—	
14.61	180.07	6.446		<u> </u>	—	<u> </u>
24.19	149.42	6.323		$373 \cdot 12$	9.6872	5.964
38.34	$125 \cdot 11$	6.374		$372 \cdot 48$	12.8778	5.964
58.80	105.00	6.375		371.56	16.6164	5.940
76.74	$93 \cdot 84$	6.382		371.14	19.4031	5.954
91·90Ň	87.15	6.439		370.81	21.5989	5.956
						Mean 5.959
	cyclo <i>Hexan</i>	e-1 : 1-dicarbox	vlic Acid	(M = 172.)	10; $\Lambda_0 = 374.6$	L.
	ey e10110/14/1			$\kappa = 0.745.$		•
0.985	277.12	4.176	~			_
4.721	219.33	3.905			_	
7.032	170.98	3.879				
13.07	152.88	3.674				—
23.44	122.33	3.721		$372 \cdot 41$	7.6996	3.531
35.61	103.39	3.747		372.00	9.8971	3.544
54.33	86.52	3.769		371.46	12.6545	3.546
69·24 J	78.14	3.807		371.07	14.5806	3.559
		Run 2.	Cell R.	$\kappa = 0.738.$		
2.908	252.43	4.049			·	
7.174	192.91	3.923				—
12.50	158.49	3.879				—
19.18	133.55	3.788				
32.51	107.38	3.745		372.07	7.3824	3.545
47:81	91.33	3.758		371.62	11.7499	3.544
73.221	76.03	3.785		370.94	15.0076	3.536
95·73V	67.53	3.795		370.32	17.4569	3.540

The values for μ at round concentrations for the acids are in Table IV.

TABLE IV.

Mean 3.543

Molecular Conductivities of 1:1-Dicarboxylic Acids.

	cyclo-	cyclo-	cyclo-	cyclo-		cyclo-	cyclo-	cyclo-	cyclo-
$C imes 10^4$.	Propane.	Butane.	Pentane.	Hexane.	$C imes 10^4$.	Propane.	Butane.	Pentane.	Hexane.
1.0	366.71	340.15	310.42	277.00	50.0	$302 \cdot 40$	123.50	111.50	89·3 0
5.0	358.75	270.14	241.00	214.85	60.0	$294 \cdot 30$	114.50	103.30	83.70
10.0	$349 \cdot 80$	217.80	201.30	168.80	70.0	286.80	107.20	96.70	78.50
20.0	334.70	$174 \cdot 80$	161.20	131.00	80.0	280.00	101.60	91.70	74.00
30.0	321.80	151.60	138.00	110.50	90.0	273.70	97.50	87.40	70.70
40.0	311.30	$135 \cdot 30$	$122 \cdot 20$	97.80	100.0	267.50	94.00	$83 \cdot 50$	65.50

General Technique and Apparatus for the Potentiometric Titrations.—Full details of these have already been described (German and Vogel, Part XII, this vol., p. 912). The measurements were carried out in an oil thermostat maintained electrically at $25^{\circ} \pm 0.01^{\circ}$. Stirring was effected in most cases with purified nitrogen. All titrations were accurate to 0.01 c.c

The quinhydrone electrode was used throughout. The cell employed was $Hg|Hg_2Cl_2,KCl$ (satd.) || Solution, quinhydrone | Pt, and the liquid-junction potential was assumed to be negligible. Before and after each titration, the saturated calomel electrode was standardised against at least two independent N/10-calomel cells, for which a value of 0.3376 volt was assumed. The cell system was further standardised against Walpole's standard acetate buffer.

Calculation of the Thermodynamic Primary and Secondary Dissociation Constants.-The values of K_1 (class.) and K_2 (class.) were computed by Britton's modification (*loc. cit.*) of Auerbach and Smolczyk's formulæ (loc. cit.). The true dissociation constants were then evaluated by correction for ionic strength, the simple Debye–Hückel equation for activities being used. It can be readily shown that, in dilute solution where the ionic strength μ is small,

and
$$p_{K_1 \text{ (therm.)}} = p_{K_1 \text{ (class.)}} + 0.505 \mu^{0.5}$$

 $p_{K_2 \text{ (therm.)}} = p_{K_2 \text{ (class.)}} + 1.515 \mu^{0.5}$

The values of μ , the total ionic strength, were obtained as follows from the mean K_1 (class.) and K_{2} (class.) values. The ions present in solution at any point of the titration are Na^{\circ}, H^{\circ}, HA', and A", and it is necessary to find the relative amounts of HA' and A" present at any point (compare Michaelis-Perlzweig, "Hydrogen Ion Concentration," 1926, p. 55; Glasstone, "The Electrochemistry of Solutions," 1930, p. 203).

The total acid concentration $C = [H_2A] + [HA'] + [A'']$. Let the fractions of HA' and A'' ions present be α_1 and α_2 respectively.

Then
$$\alpha_1 = \frac{[HA']}{[H_2A] + [HA'] + [A'']} = \frac{1}{[H^*]/K_1 + 1 + K_2/[H^*]}$$

and $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{[H^*]/K_2 + 1 + [H^*]^2/K_1K_2}$

an

(Glasstone's expression, op. cit., requires correction.) If C is expressed in mols./l., then $\alpha_1 C =$ [HA'] and $\alpha_2 C = [A'']$ approximately.

Now $\mu = 0.5\Sigma cz^2$ (where c and z are respectively the concentration and valency of the ions) $= 0.5 ([Na^{\dagger}] + [H^{\dagger}] + [HA'] + [A''] \times 2^{2})$

$$= 0.5 \left([4A_{3}] + [11] + [111] + [111] + [11] + [2] \right)$$

= 0.5 (a + h + \alpha_{1}C + 4\alpha_{2}C)
= 0.5 \left(a + h + C \left\{ \frac{1}{(h/K_{1} + 1 + K_{2}/h)} + \frac{4}{(h/K_{2} + 1 + h^{2}/K_{1}K_{2})} \right\} \right)

where a is the concentration of sodium hydroxide added and $h = [H^*]$.

The essential titration results are in Table V.

(

TABLE V.

Potentiometric Titration of Cyclic 1:1-Dicarboxylic Acids with Sodium Hydroxide at 25°.

	Acid.	Concn. of acid, M .	Concn. of NaOH, N.
(I)	cycloPropane	0.002	0.01082
(ÌI)	cycloButane	0.010	0.00945
(ÌII)	cycloPentane		0.00965
(IV)	cycloHexane		0.00942
	100 C a of as	d wore used in all a	

100 C.c. of acid were used in all cases.

			100 0.0.	or acid we	ie used m a				
NaOH,	(I).	(II).	(III).	(IV).	NaOH,	(I).	(II).	(III).	(IV).
c.c.	⊅н∙	⊅н	⊅н∙	⊅н .	c.c.	⊅н∙	⊅н.	⊅н∙	⊅н∙
0	2.432	2.614	2.839	2.956	67.5	7.267		5.624	5.589
5	2.492		2.919	3.061	70	7.369	3.491	5.712	5.680
10	2.560	2.736	3.023	3.162	72.5	7.457		5.797	5.768
15	2.634		3.125	3.267	75	7.541		5.883	5.821
17.5	2.675		3.208		77.5	7.622		5.958	5.934
20	2.719	2.851	3.254	3.856	80	7.700	3.623	6.049	6.012
22.5	2.763		3.294	3.447	82.5			6.130	6.100
25	2.814		3.365	3.504	85			6.198	6.188
27.5	2.864		3.426	3.568	90		3.902	6.396	6.377
3 0	2.922	2.969	3.492	3.639	100		4.245	6.848	6.976
32.5	2.986		3.563	3.727 *	110		4.685		
35	3.057	3.050	3.641	3.787	120		5.020		
37.5	3.126		3.729	3.870	130		5.260		
40	3.243	3.083	3.821	3.91	140		5.450		
42.5			3.931	4.066	145		5.529		
45	3.541	3.142	4.089	4.232	150		5.616		
50	4.952	$3 \cdot 210$	4.496	4.570	155		5.691		
55	6.533	$3 \cdot 271$	4.978	4.946	160		5.778		
60	6.919	3.340	5.318	5.257	165		5.856		
62.5	7.057		5.419	5.382	175		6.015		
65	7.196	3.411	5.524	5.491					

Table VI contains typical results of the calculation of the classical and the thermodynamic dissociation constant for one acid (III) in detail; only the final figures for the other three acids are given.

TABLE VI.

Dissociation Constants of Cyclic 1:1-Dicarboxylic Acids at 25° by Potentiometric Titration.

cycloPentane-1: 1-dicarboxylic acid.											
Pairs of K_1			K_1	K_2	Pairs of	K_1	K_2	,	K_1	K_2	
points (class			(therm.)		points	(class.)	(class.)	(†	therm.)		
used. $\times 10$	$^{4}. \times 10^{6}.$	μ.	$ imes 10^4$.	imes 107.	used.	$ imes 10^4$	$ imes 10^{6}$	μ.	$ imes 10^4$.	$ imes 10^7$.	
22.5 - 6.25	5	2.25	5.92		35∙0 ∖	6.22		2.75	5.90		
72·5 j	1.02	5.12		8.16	70∙0∮		1.07	4.95		8.35	
25.0) 6.23	1	2.32	5.86		37.5)	6.24		2.80	5.87		
77·5 Ĵ	1.09	5.51		8.41	67∙5∫		1.02	4.77		8.24	
27.5 (6.2)	l	2.43	5.87		40.0)	6.24		2.87	5.87		
80∙0∫	1.08	5.74		8.28	65·0 Ĵ		1.02	4.54		8.31	
30.0 \ 6.24	Ļ	2.54	5.89		42.5)	6.27		2.97	5.89		
82.5∫	1.08	5.96		8.25	62∙5∫		1.04	4.35		8.25	
								Mean	5.88	8.28	
						K_1 (therm.).			K_2 (therm.).		
cycloPropane-1: 1-dicarboxylic acid					No co	No constant value obtainable			$3.71 imes10^{-8}$		
cycloButane-1: 1-dicarboxylic acid						$7{\cdot}47 imes10^{-4}$				$1.32~ imes~10^{-6}$	
cycloHexane-1: 1-dicarboxylic acid					••	3.54 $ imes$ 10^{-4}				10-7	

The authors' thanks are due to the Royal Society and Imperial Chemical Industries for grants.

Woolwich Polytechnic, London, S.E. 18. University College, Southampton.

[Received, September 27th, 1935.]